Full Moderation for Competency or Standards-based Assessments -INTERIM

## Programme Team / Assessor to complete

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Business Division (Subsidiary) |  |
| Programme Code & Title |  |
| Course Code & Title |  |
| Level |  | Credits |  |
| If applicableStandard number\* |  | Version |  |
| Grading approach | Competency or Standards-based |

*\*For WDC Standards, the assessment materials must be approved by the WDC before use.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Assessment title | Learning or Standard outcome(s) and/or other Standard requirements assessed |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

*Add or delete rows as needed*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Assessor comments |  |
| Moderator name(s) |  |
| Moderator organisation(s) |  |

Artefacts attached*Indicate and detail as appropriate*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Artefact | Yes / No | Detail |
| Course Descriptor  |  |  |
| Standard(s) |  |  |
| Assessment matrix / map |  |  |
| Assessment task(s) |  |  |
| Marking guidance |  |  |
| Exemplars / Benchmarked examples of evidence |  |  |
| Samples of assessed work |  | Number of samples: |
| Other |  |  |

## Moderator to complete

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Have you been provided with all documentation and evidence required for you to moderate this submission? (indicate as appropriate) | Yes / No |

## Moderator to complete

Assessment materials

### Assessment task(s)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Assessment tasks are fit for purpose: | Y / N / n/a | Comments |
| 1. The assessment methods, grading approach, and weightings align with those specified in the approved document (e.g., Course Descriptor).
 |  |  |
| 1. Course title and/or code, Standard number and version (if applicable), assessment title, and outcomes or other requirements being assessed, are clearly identified for ākonga.
 |  |  |
| 1. The assessment methods used are appropriate for the outcomes and/or requirements being assessed.
 |  |  |
| 1. The assessment conditions are appropriate, and clearly stated for ākonga (include, as appropriate, time frames, due dates, resources available to each ākonga.)
 |  |  |
| 1. The tasks adequately and appropriately assess the relevant outcomes and/or requirements and provide ākonga with the opportunity to achieve at all grades available.
 |  |  |
| 1. The tasks generate sufficient evidence for each outcome and/or requirement.
 |  |  |
| 1. The complexity and demands of tasks are appropriate for the level, credit value, and outcomes and/or requirements being assessed.
 |  |  |
| 1. Criteria for success are appropriate and are clearly communicated to ākonga.
 |  |  |
| 1. Instructions for ākonga are clear, unambiguous, and use appropriate language for the level and subject.
 |  |  |
| 1. The tasks are fair and equitable.
 |  |  |
| 1. The tasks are technically accurate and appropriately contextualised (e.g., to reflect real world challenges, skills, or activities).
 |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Overall comments, commendations, recommendations about the **assessment tasks** |
|  |

## Moderator to complete

Marking guidance

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Marking guidance is fit for purpose: | Y / N / n/a | Comments |
| 1. It includes Course title and/or code, and if applicable, Standard number and version.
 |  |  |
| 1. It aligns with and covers all aspects of the outcomes and/or other requirements being assessed, and the tasks and instructions.
 |  |  |
| 1. It is consistent with industry / regulatory / legislative requirements, if applicable.
 |  |  |
| 1. It provides suitable and sufficient guidance for assessors to make judgements that are appropriate and consistent, including between assessors and over time.
 |  |  |
| 1. For each task, the quality (and, where relevant, quantity) of ākonga evidence required for each available grade is clearly described and meets the outcomes and/or requirements being assessed.
 |  |  |
| 1. Examples of appropriate evidence are provided for each available grade for the outcomes and/or other requirements being assessed, and where applicable, a range of acceptable answers are allowed for.
 |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Overall comments, commendations, recommendations about the **marking guidance** |
|  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Overall criteria pertaining to **assessment materials** (Refer to Guidance. Add as relevant, or delete section if not) | Y / N / n/a | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **In my / our professional opinion, the assessment materials**: *(select one)* |
|  | 1. Are ready for use in their present state.
 |
|  | 1. With minor amendments will be ready for use.
 |
|  | 1. Require substantial modifications or full redevelopment, and re-moderated before use.
 |

|  |
| --- |
| Additional comments about the assessment materials (tasks, marking guidance) |
|  |

## Moderator to complete

Assessor judgements

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ākonga ID | Assessor judgement | ModeratorJudgement\*  | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

*\* For Standards-based assessments, ensure that your moderator judgements are made against the Standard, not solely against the assessment material.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Overall criteria pertaining to **assessor judgements** (Refer to Guidance. Add as relevant, or delete section if not) | Y / N / n/a | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Add or delete rows as needed*

|  |
| --- |
| Overall comments, commendations, recommendations about the **assessor judgements** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Other comments, commendations, recommendations |
|  |

Moderator Signature: Date:

## Programme team to complete once moderation feedback received

Follow-up actions to address moderation feedback

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Action | By whom | By when | Completed |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Relevant authority or delegate sign-off**Signature:Date: |